

# In the United States Court of Federal Claims

## OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 20-0929V

(not to be published)

SAMANTHA IVERS,

Petitioner,

v.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND  
HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

Chief Special Master Corcoran

Filed: November 8, 2022

Special Processing Unit (SPU);  
Attorney's Fees and Costs

*Stephanie B. Rubin, Rubin & Machado, Ltd., Chicago, IL, for Petitioner.*

*Mary Eileen Holmes, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for Respondent.*

### **DECISION ON ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS<sup>1</sup>**

On July 30, 2020, Samantha Ivers filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*<sup>2</sup> (the "Vaccine Act"). On August 6, 2017, Petitioner received an influenza ("flu") vaccine, which vaccine is listed on the Vaccine Injury Table (the "Table"), 42 C.F.R. § 100.3(a). Petitioner alleges that she suffered a shoulder injury related to vaccine administration ("SIRVA") as a result of receiving the flu vaccine and that she experienced the residual effects of this injury for more than six months.

---

<sup>1</sup> Because this unpublished Decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, I am required to post it on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). **This means the Decision will be available to anyone with access to the internet.** In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), Petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within this definition, I will redact such material from public access.

<sup>2</sup> National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all section references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2012).

Respondent denies that the flu vaccine caused Petitioner to develop SIRVA, brachial neuritis, any other injury, and/or her current condition. ECF No. 33 at ¶ 6. On May 27, 2022, a decision was issued awarding compensation to Petitioner based on the parties' stipulation. ECF No. 34.

Petitioner has now filed a motion for attorney's fees and costs, dated September 30, 2022 (ECF No. 38), requesting a total award of \$10,759.08 (representing \$10,155.00 in fees and \$604.08 in costs). In accordance with General Order No. 9, Petitioner filed a signed statement indicating that she incurred no out-of-pocket expenses. ECF No. 38-4. Respondent reacted to the motion on October 4, 2022, indicating that Respondent "does not object to the overall amount sought, as it is not an unreasonable amount to have been incurred for proceedings in this case to date." ECF No. 39. On October 11, 2022, Petitioner filed a reply requesting that "the court grant Petitioner's motion as filed." ECF No. 40.

I have reviewed the billing records submitted with Petitioner's request. In my experience, the request appears reasonable, and I find no cause to reduce the requested hours or rates.

The Vaccine Act permits an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs. Section 15(e). Accordingly, I hereby GRANT Petitioner's Motion for attorney's fees and costs. I award a total of **\$10,759.08** (representing \$10,155.00 in fees and \$604.08 in costs) as a lump sum in the form of a check jointly payable to Petitioner and Petitioner's counsel. In the absence of a timely-filed motion for review (see Appendix B to the Rules of the Court), the Clerk shall enter judgment in accordance with this decision.<sup>3</sup>

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

**s/Brian H. Corcoran**  
Brian H. Corcoran  
Chief Special Master

---

<sup>3</sup> Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), the parties may expedite entry of judgment by filing a joint notice renouncing their right to seek review.